

RECOVERY

HEALTHPLAN of CALIFORNIA A Public Agency

PARTNERSHIP





Profile Highlights



• Table of Contents:

- Enrollment & Ethnicity
- Health Status
- Quality Metrics
- Access & Telehealth
- Member Engagement
- Geographic expansion

County Health Profile Report:

Summarizes relevant social and clinical data for Yolo County from Partnership and other state and national sources. The Report helps to identify important trends and compare metrics for Yolo County with other counties in the Partnership region. The Report highlights the unique strengths and challenges of each Partnership County.

Yolo County Highlights

- New Kaiser direct contract with State and redetermination may decrease enrollment by 28%
- Almost three-quarters of members indicate English as their preferred language.
- Achieved high QIP scores in 2022, all measures above the State minimum performance level
- Primary care visit and ED rates favorable to Plan average
- Over one-third of specialty visits via telehealth
- Primary care provider vacancy ~ 15%





Yolo County Enrollment Factors Impacting Enrollment


Redetermination:

County Social Services Departments will begin sending re-enrollment packets to Medi-Cal members, based on the month of their effective date of coverage. **Remind members to:**

- Update their address with county social services. DHCS website: <u>https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/Pages/Kee</u> <u>p-Your-Medi-Cal.aspx</u>
- Watch for the packet in mail, complete and send back
- Contact your county social services office or local clinic for assistance.

Direct Kaiser Contract with the State:

In Jan of 2024, Partnership members assigned to Kaiser will be moved to a direct contract between Kaiser and the State. They will no longer be Partnership members




Medi-Cal Redetermination process and the direct contract with Kaiser is estimated to reduce enrollment in Yolo County by ~28% over the next 18 months. Please note: this estimate is subject to change by multiple factors





Yolo County Member Ethnicity & Preferred Language




42% of Yolo County members are of Hispanic ethnicity, 21% White and 4% Black. 73% indicate English is their preferred language, followed by 21% Spanish and 3% Russian. *Please note large ethnic group categorized as "other" impacts these statistics.*





County Health Ranking & Metrics



County Health Rankings & Roadmaps

Social and economic factors dramatically impact overall health in our communities. Just within the Southeast Region there are big differences in life expectancy, death rates and other risk behaviors





Life Expectancy in Yolo County Disaggregation by Race

Average Life Expectancy in Yolo County is 81.4 years (State, 81.0 yrs., US 78.5 yrs.)



Life Expectancy by Race for Yolo County

Disaggregation

78.3	75.1-81.4
00.7	81.6-83.7
02.7	01.0-03./
80.5	80.1-81.0
	82.7

Years of data used to calculate rates: 2018 – 2020



Is Your County an Outlier within the Partnership HealthPlan Southern Region?

County	QIP Measures Below Benchmark (2022)	Percent of QIP Points Earned (2022)	Percent of Children with High Blood Lead (2020)	ED Visits/ 1000 Mbr. (2022)	Primary Care Visits/ Mbr./Yr (2022)	Percent Primary Care Telehealth (2022)	Percent Specialty Telehealth (2022)	Hospital Days/ 1000 Mbrs. (2022)	Percent Mbrs. Accessing Behavioral Health (2022)	Percent Primary Care Workforce Vacancy (Sep 2022)
Lake	7/12	48.8%	NA	780	1.4	15%	38%	384	6.7%	36%
Marin	2/12	68.3%	.76%	467	2.3	10%	41%	318	8.4%	25%
Mendocino	5/12	54.5%	1.7%	733	2.0	9%	27%	255	7.2%	34%
Napa	2/12	71.1%	.64%	584	2.0	30%	28%	252	5.7%	25%
Solano	6/12	57.9%	1.8%	589	1.5	24%	30%	336	3.5%	20%
Sonoma	1/12	67.8%	.87%	554	2.4	28%	23%	347	7.0%	22%
Yolo	0/12	60.9%	2.1%	454	2.4	20%	34%	236	6.3%	15%
Compared To	Region 2/12	Region 62%	State 1.2%	Plan 573	Plan 2.0	Plan 19%	Plan 31%	Plan 334	Plan 7.7%	Plan 24%

Metrics >20% from Region, Plan or State average



Regional View of Quality 2022 QIP Results

Regional Scores: Partnership reports quality data to the State by region rather than by individual county. Individual county scores roll into the average for the region. The overall goal is for each county to score above the minimum performance level, typically the 50th percentile for all Medicaid managed care plans nationally.

Clinical Measures Below the State Minimum Performance Level By County*

Napa County	Solano County	Yolo County
 Childhood Immunizations CIS 10 Well Child – 1st 15 Months 	 Breast Cancer Screening Cervical Cancer Screening Colorectal Cancer Screening Childhood Immunizations CIS 10 Nutrition/Physical Activity Counseling 	

Southeast Region Metrics Below the State Minimum Performance Level in the Region	Percent from Benchmark
Cervical Cancer Screening	-1.3%

In the Southeast Region, Cervical Cancer Screening is the only QIP clinical measure below the benchmark

* Minimum performance level at ~ 50th percentile nationwide for Medicaid health plans



Quality Improvement Program Scores Yolo County 2022

Measure	Partial Point Target	Full Point Target	Planwide Ave Dec 2022	Yolo	SE Region	Yolo NTT to Partial	Yolo NTT to Full	Ave. Treated/ Mo.
Asthma Medication Ratio	64.78%	70.67%	70.58%	75.14%	73.71%	-56	-24	34
Breast Cancer Screening	53.93%	58.70%	52.74%	58.29%	56.43%	-90	8	100
Cervical Cancer Screening	59.12%	63.66%	57.74%	63.13%	57.81%	-344	45	451
Colorectal Cancer Screening	32.80%	40.23%	39.93%	44.95%	41.19%	-582	-226	180
Blood Pressure Control	55.35%	62.53%	65.78%	61.65%	64.91%	-134	19	109
HbA1c Control	56.81%	61.63%	65.98%	66.01%	65.93%	-182	-87	109

Measure	Partial Point Target	Full Point Target	Planwide Ave Dec 2022	Yolo	SE Region	Yolo NTT to Partial	Yolo NTT to Full	Ave. Treated/ Mo.
Child/Adolescent Visits	45.31%	53.83%	51.30%	57.50%	52.17%	-1520	-458	598
Childhood Immunization CIS10	38.20%	45.50%	30.31%	44.95%	38.40%	-37	11	24
Adolescent Immunizations	36.74%	43.55%	37.05%	41.58%	43.49%	-45	18	32
Nutrition Counseling*	70.11%	76.64%	67.82%	97.03%	78.20%	-190	-144	57
Physical Activity Counseling*	66.18%	72.81%	66.09%	96.32%	74.91%	-213	-166	57
Well Child - 1st 15 Months	54.92%	61.25%	61.50%	62.42%	57.96%	-22	-3	16

Color Code	Definitions					
Dark green	Score in top 25 th percentile for all Medicaid health plans					
Light green	Score in top 50 th percentile for all Medicaid health plans					
Blue	Less than 50 th percentile, but above Partnership Plan average					
Yellow	Less than 50 th percentile, and less than Partnership Plan average					

NTT: Number of members to treat to reach benchmark (- number) means exceeded benchmark (+ number) short of benchmark

* Measures sunsetting in 2023



Early Childhood Immunizations (CIS 10) Yolo County

An analysis of Partnership HealthPlan 2022 HEDIS results for childhood immunization shows the percent of children completing the ten required categories of vaccines or vaccine families. To successfully complete the CIS 10 measure, all ten vaccine families must be administered, equaling 23 – 24 individual vaccines.

	Per	cent o Re		ren Co I Vacci	-	-	-		the				Vaccine Family	Number in Series
0%	F oth D	ercentile E			_								Нер В	3
%	50 P	ercentile	Senchinari	(-38.2%							33%		Rotovirus	2-3
0					The	se with (9 of 10 va	iccines th	<u>م</u>	28%	28%	_	DTaP	4
,)					mo	st often	missing:			24%			Hib	3
					16	i% Influe i% Rotovi							PCV	4
	12%				9%	6 Нер В							IPV	3
									10 %0%				Influenza	2
	7%			4% 4%	4% 4%	3% 4%	4% 4%	^{6%} 5%					MMR	1
		^{2%} 1%	^{2%} 1%			570							Varicella	1
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		Нер А	1
					Planv	vide 🔳	Yolo						Total Required	23 -24


In Yolo County, 33% of eligible children completed all ten required vaccine families. Another 28% completed nine of the ten required (most often missing influenza). 7% of children completed no immunizations (unwilling or out of area).




Immunizations for Adolescents Planwide Trends

An analysis of 2022 HEDIS results for adolescent immunizations shows the percent of youth completing all four immunizations. To successfully complete the Adolescent Immunization series, youth must have 1. Tdap, 1 Meningococcal, and 2 HPV immunizations by their 13th birthday. Total Partnership members eligible for this measure is 64,910.

Percent of Eligible Youth Completing Vaccine Type and Total Compliant



85% of eligible children have had Tdap (required for entry into 7th grade), 75% have received meningococcal, 42% HPV and 39% have received all three.




HPV vaccination rate by sex

Of the 42% of youth receiving the HPV vaccine, the number was equally divided between females and males.



Blood Lead Screening State Data 2020

Figure 1. Percent of Children Under 6 Years Old with a Blood Lead Level of 4.5 $\mu g/dL$ or Greater, by California Local Health Jurisdiction, 2020



Data from RASSCLE surveillance database archive of 7/30/2021

California Counties: Percent Children <6 yrs with Blood Lead Level of 4.5 µg/dl or higher, 2020

County	# Tested	Percent > 4.5 µg/dl				
Humboldt	1,703	4.35%				
Marin	1,445	0.76%				
Mendocino	1,002	1.70%				
Napa	786	0.64%				
Shasta	420	0.95%				
Solano	3,588	1.84%				
Sonoma	1,596	0.87%				
Yolo	1,674	2.09%				
Statewide*	336,386	1.21%				


*Statewide 0.25% of children <6 yrs have Blood Lead Level \geq than 9.5 $\mu g/dl$

All counties have a small percentage of children with high blood lead levels, a few counties are well above the Statewide average.



Blood Lead Screening Partnership HealthPlan Members

Yolo County: Partnership HealthPlan Members



Number of Children Screened

Point of Service Testing



leadcare II

Clinicians

Point-of-care lead testing means everybody wins. 3 minutes. 2 drops of blood. 1 visit. Zero loose ends.

LeadCare[®] II is the only CLIA-waived, point-of-care lead testing system that makes it possible to test, educate and intervene onthe-spot, in one visit. No need to send patients to an outside lab. No need to re-test due to sample problems at the lab. No risk of losing track of a child who needs treatment.


The annual number of children screened in Yolo County and Planwide is still below pre-pandemic levels

Eureka | Fairfield | Redding | Santa Rosa



Yolo County ED Use– Four Year Trend

Yolo County ED Visits Vs Planwide Per 1000 Members/Year



ED Visits/1000 Mbrs/Year in 2022 - Plan Average: 573


1,083.5 MODOC 779.9 LAKE 733.1 MENDOCINO 797.3 DEL NORTE 671.3 LASSEN 570.7 TRINITY 595.6 SISKIYOU 589.5 SOLANO 583.7 NAPA 527.4 SHASTA SONOMA 554.0 486.4 HUMBOLDT 466.9 MARIN YOLO 453.6

ED Visits PMPY x1000

Yolo County ED visit rates are consistently well-below the Planwide average and remain below pre-pandemic levels. Yolo has the lowest ED use rate in the Plan.



Hospital Use Rates Yolo County



Yolo County hospital length of stay is lower than Plan average. The rate of inpatient admissions is declining.

Eureka | Fairfield | Redding | Santa Rosa



2.3

2.1


1.9

1.7


2018

2019

Yolo County Primary Care Visit Rate



Percent Telehealth



Five Year Trend

2020

-Yolo County -Planwide

Primary Care Visits/Member/Year

Primary care visits per member per year in Yolo County are consistently above the Planwide average (with the exception of 2020). In 2022, 20% of total primary care visits were provided through telehealth (video or phone) compared to 19% Planwide.

2.1

2022

2.1

2021


Yolo County Specialty Visit Rates

Specialty

Percent



Percent Specialty Visits Provided by Telehealth Six Year Trend




The provision of specialty care via telehealth remains a major tool to improve access. Approximately a third of specialty visits in Yolo County are provided by telehealth.

Eureka | Fairfield | Redding | Santa Rosa



Behavioral Health Use (All Ages) Yolo County

Percent of Total Members Using Behavioral Health Services



(Telehealth 18%)



Yolo County Utilization Data for 2022

Provider Type	Visits 2022	Ave. Visits per Member
Therapy Services	29,391	11.0
Medical Management	7,033	5.3
Other	10,486	9.1

Fairfield Redding Santa Rosa Eureka



of CALIFORNIA

Provider Satisfaction

Partnership HealthPlan of California

At-A-Glance 2022 Physician/Specialist Satisfaction Results

SPH Analytics conducted the physician/specialist satisfaction study via a multi-mode survey approach, allowing respondents to complete the survey via mail or web.

Courtesy telephone calls were made to encourage participation via mail or web.

A total of 401 physician/specialist surveys were completed from April 1 – June 17, 2022.

			Total		Primary C	are Physici	ans (PGPs)	Spe	ecialists (SF	Cs]
	-	Total (A)	Southern (B)	Northern (C)	Total (D)	Southern (E)	Northern (F)	Total (G)	Southern (H)	Northern (I)
	Total respondents:	(n=401)	(n=289)	(n=112)	(n=214)	(n=148)	(n=66)	(n=187)	(n=141)	(n=46)
Overall Measure (% Strongly Agree / Agree)										
I am satisfied with the Plan		98%	98%	100% B	99%	99%	100%	97%	96%	100%н

on	Areas for Improvement (Agree or Strongly Agree)
98%	I routinely receive reports after my 50%
99%	PHC patients have access Mental Health Care Services
99%	I know how to submit a potential 62% CCS child to the local County agency
98%	for eligibility
	My patients are able to get timely 69%
96%	appointments for specialty services within 15 days
	99% 99% 98%



Member Satisfaction


Partnership HealthPlan of California Member Survey 2022

		Adults C	Children
Overall satisfaction with Partnership HealthPlan (% score of 9 or 10)			67% th Percentile)
Areas of Highest Satisfaction for Adults		Areas of Highest Satisfaction	for Children
Satisfaction with PHC Member Services	86%	Satisfaction with PHC Member Services	89%
Overall satisfaction with your personal doctor	62%	Overall satisfaction with your personal doctor	75%
Areas of Improvement for Adults		Areas of Improvement for Children	
Getting care quickly	73%	Getting needed care	80%
How well doctors communicate	86%	Overall satisfaction with health care	66%



Workforce Point in Time Survey (January 2023)

Partnership HealthPlan staff conducted a survey of primary care organizations across the 14 county region. The results shown below indicate the current primary care provider vacancies weighted by available positions per county. The plan wide vacancy rate is 24.5%, representing 296 clinician vacancies (~200 physicians and 100 NP/PA positions)



All primary care practices are challenged by workforce shortages impacting access to care, quality, workforce burnout, retention and more. Primary care shortages range from a low of 15% to high of 38%. The Partnership plan wide average is 24%.

Workforce Promising Practices

1. Partnership Workforce Recruitment & Retention program

- 2. Retention strategies to maintain current staff
- 3. Flexible hours to promote work/life balance
- 4. NP/PA Fellowship programs
- 5. Close working relationship with residency training programs
- 6. Use of primary care telehealth (remote providers)
- 7. Expanding statewide health training capacity (State strategy)
- 8. Assistance with housing



Potential 10 County Geographic Expansion Jan 2024

Serving the North State

Partnership's planned geographic expansion will add 10 new counties to the network, for a combined network of 24 counties.

Partnership as their preferred partner: All ten counties passed ordinances electing Partnership HealthPlan as their preferred partner for managed Medi-Cal. Many of the reasons cited include local presence, responsiveness and aligned values.

New Members: The ten counties will add approximately 274,000

New Structure: Partnership plans to reallocate Commissioners to accommodate the new counties. Plans also include one or two new regional offices.



New expansion counties:

- Butte Plumas
- Colusa Sierra
- Glenn Sutter
- Nevada Tehama
- Placer Sierra



Yolo County Health Profile

The County Health Profiles provide information from both State and Partnership HealthPlan data sources.

Please direct your questions about the information provided to your regional liaison:

Region	Regional Medical Director	Regional Administrative
Northwest (Del Norte & Humboldt Counties)	Dr. Jeff Ribordy, Northern Region Medical Director jribordy@partnershiphp.org	Vicky Klakken, Regional Manager vklakken@partnershiphp.org
Northeast (Modoc, Lassen, Siskiyou, Shasta & Trinity Counties)	Dr. Jeff Ribordy jribordy@partnershiphp.org	Vacant
Southwest (Lake, Marin, Mendocino & Sonoma Counties)	Dr. Marshall Kubota Mkubota@partnershiphp.org	Lynn Scuri, Regional Director Iscuri@partnershiphp.org
Southeast (Napa, Solano & Yolo Counties)	Dr. Colleen Townsend ctownsend@partnershiphp.org	Kathryn Power, Community Relations Manager <u>kpowers@partnershiphp.org</u>
East (Potential expansion 10 county region)	TBD	Scott McFarland, Regional Director smcfarland@partnershiphp.org

A special thanks to Sheila Hakel, Santa Rosa Regional Office Administrative Support staff for her help and expertise in the production of this report.